



PicoCal simulations and benchmarking

Liupan An

On behalf of the LHCb PicoCal R&D group

Peking University

6th Workshop on LHCb Upgrade II @ Barcelona, 30th March 2023

ECAL Upgrade scenarios

ightarrowRun 1-3: 4×4/6×6/12×12 cm² Shashlik

≻Run 4 (Upgrade Ib):

✓ Innermost: 2×2 cm² SPACAL W+Poly.
 ✓ Second inner: 3×3 cm² SPACAL Pb+Poly
 ✓ Outer: 4×4/6×6/12×12 cm² Shashlik
 ✓ No longitudinal segmentation

✓ Timing readout for SPACAL only (option with timing in Shashlik will also be checked)

≻Run 5 (Upgrade II):

✓ Innermost: 1.5×1.5 cm² SPACAL W+GAGG
 ✓ Second inner: 3×3 cm² SPACAL Pb+Poly
 ✓ Outer: 4×4/6×6/12×12 cm² Shashlik
 ✓ With longitudinal segmentation
 ✓ Dual timing readout for all modules



Simulation framework

Marco Pizzichemi

In simulation, optical photons ray-tracing needed, but extremely CPU-consuming
 parametrized strategy developed



 Hybrid-MC: Geant4 simulation of energy deposit and parametrized transport of scintillation photons
 ⇒ gain in computation time by up to a factor 1000

≻Available for both single module study and full-ECAL setups from Run3 to Run5

Comparison with test beam data Marco Pizzichemi

Energy Resolution - 3°+3° σ_E / <E> 0.13 Testbeam - Prototype Simulations - Prototype 0.11 Simulations - LHCb Upgrade II Module 0.1 0.09 WGAGG @ DESY 0.08 0.07 0.06 0.05 L. An et al, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2022.16762 0.04 1.5 2.5 3.5 4.5 5 Beam Energy [GeV]

$\sigma(E)/E \approx \text{Sampling}/\sqrt{E} \oplus \text{Constant}$

		Measurements on TB modules [%]	MC simulations on TB modules [%]	MC simulations on optimized modules [%]
WGAGG	Sampling term	10.6 ± 0.1	10.2 ± 0.1	9.2 ± 0.1
	Constant term	1~2	1.98 ± 0.04	1.18 ± 0.03
PbPoly	Sampling term	10.0 ± 0.6	10.3 ± 0.1	9.7 ± 0.1
	Constant term	1.16 ± 0.06	0.94 ± 0.04	0.56 ± 0.05



Hybrid MC reproduces well the testbeam results after including the separation material

- Modules in ECAL will be designed with optimized separation, e.g. thin reflector foil
- Expected energy resolution in optimized modules in line with requirements

Benchmarking physics modes

To cover physics modes involving photon, electron and π^0 with different energy coverage, background level, vertex constraint ...



Reconstruction algorithm

≻3×3 cells clustering

 ✓ cell with larger deposit energy than all its neighbor cells taken as seed cell;
 3×3 cells surrounding seed cell taken as a cluster



✓ Energy in front and back cell summed up; timing taken as that of seed cell

- ✓ Corrections to position and energy of the clusters are implemented
- ✓ Algorithm to utilize *long. segmentation (for Run 5), timing info* etc. and its effect on performance to be studied

Machine learning (ML) approach

✓ Three sets of regressors are trained to estimate: *position, *energy, *time ✓ The ML input is Geant4 responses in cells of shape 5x5(x number of layers)✓ Applied to $B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi\pi^0$ only so far



$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$ – timing study

>Studies performed for Upgrade II with peak luminosity of $\mathcal{L} = 1.5 \times 10^{34} \text{ cm}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$

Timing resolution obtained as weighted average of front & back section time



The timing cut is effective in reducing background



$B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$ – performance comparison

✓ The same bkg. level and tracking efficiency for K^{*0} are assumed for all setups ✓ Timing resolution of K^{*0} vertex assumed to be 0



Effect of timing resolution from tracking system is being studied with joint VELO-ECAL simulation [See Timothy David Evans' talk for more details]

- Timing cut effective for Upgrade II
- With timing cut, Upgrade II performance can reach that of Run2
- Upgrade II downscoped option has a downscaled performance
- Upgrade Ib can improve performance wrt Run3



$B^+ \to K^+ e^+ e^-$

➢Goal is to study reconstruction of bremsstrahlung photons in Upgrade II

>Latest study based on Run 4 (SPACAL modules rotated) with pile-up included



- Impact of timing cuts to suppress pile-up contamination is being studied
 - ✓ Timing resolution of bremsstrahlung photons from $B^+ \rightarrow K^+ e^+ e^-$ is studied \Rightarrow low energy regime



$$Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$$

Davide Zuliani

➤Goal is to study and improve reconstruction of electrons with very high energies for Upgrade II

>ADC saturation is relevant for high $p_{\rm T}$ electrons and checked for Run 4 & 5 (SPACAL rotated)

✓ With ADC = 40 GeV, the invariant mass distribution is almost the same with no saturation (as reference, Run 2 ADC ~ 10 GeV; Run 3 ADC ~ 20 GeV)

There is still room to improve energy corrections at very high energy (most brem. photons are inside electron cluster)



➤Future steps

✓ Study energy resolution of electrons as a function of energy

✓ Improve the reconstruction of $Z \to e^+e^-$



2023/3/30

Liupan An

$B_s^0 \rightarrow J/\psi \pi^0$ - ML approach

 \succ Performance with resolved π^0 studied for Upgrade II

Alexey Boldyrev, Denis Derkach, Fedor Ratnikov, Andrey Shevelev



Timing and long. segmentation help improve the performance

Update to using hybrid-MC samples is ongoing (realistic simulation of time development in shower).

There is also room for improvement using more sophisticated ML approaches for the long. segmentation

$$B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$$

Daniele Manuzzi, Stefano Perazzini

➤The study is based on "Homogenous" simulation from Bologna

✓ Homogeneous materials with average composition

- ✓ Shower development simulated by Geant4
- ✓ Energy resolution simulated with random rejection of energy deposits
- ✓ Time resolution simulated by Gaussian smearing



➢The results suggest the critical need of R&D to improve the ECAL reconstruction algorithms in Upgrade II

Other studies

Simulation study of spillover effects

- ✓ Spillover effects are mitigated for crystals with faster decay time
- ✓ Pulse shaping techniques are important
- \checkmark The effect on physics study is to be quantified

Loris Martinazzoli, Stefano Perazzini, Marco Pizzichemi, Vincenzo Vagnoni

Simulation study with additional silicon timing layer

2 silicon layers Jiale Fei, Jike Wang, Xiangyu Wu

2 3 Split SPACAL (SPACAL1 and SPACAL2)

- ✓ Silicon layer: cell size 5×5 mm²; thickness 0.5 mm
- ✓ Cooling layer (Cu): thickness 6 mm
 2023/3/30





✓ Studies ongoing to fully exploit the potential of silicon layers

Liupan An

Towards Upgrade Ib FTDR

- Simulation configuration is prepared including radiation damage expected at the end of Run 3 to compare with Upgrade Ib
 - ✓ Current ECAL divided in 12 sections with different degraded light output derived from irradiation studies



Reco. & energy+position corrections in place for end of Run 3 including radiation damage and rotated SPACAL modules



Summary and prospects

A hybrid-MC framework is well established for ECAL simulation study

- > Many benchmarking physics modes are being studied for ECAL Upgrade scenarios Single photons: $B^0 \rightarrow K^{*0}\gamma$
 - *****Electrons: $B^+ o K^+ e^+ e^-$, $Z o e^+ e^-$
 - ♦ Neutral pions: $D^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$, $B^0_s \to J/\psi \pi^0$, $B^+ \to K^+ \pi^0$, $B^0 \to \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$ and more are on the way, e.g. $\chi_{c1} \to J/\psi \gamma$, $B^+ \to D^{*0} (\to D^0 \gamma / \pi^0) K^+$
 - ✓ Timing information is necessary and effective to improve the performance
 - ✓ It is crucial to optimize the reconstruction algorithm to fully utilize long. segmentation, timing info etc.

Essential basics for Upgrade Ib study are in place; preparation of FTDR is underway

>There are still a lot to do for ECAL upgrade sim/reco study. You are welcome to join!

Back up

Pulse shaping techniques

Loris Martinazzoli, Stefano Perazzini, Marco Pizzichemi, Vincenzo Vagnoni



- Two pulse shaping techniques:
 - Exponential fit to the baseline used to subtract spillover
 - Cable clipping:

$$V_{out}(t) = \frac{1}{2} \left(V_{in}(t) - r \cdot V_{in}(t - \delta_t) \right)$$



