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Dark Matter

The Dark Matter Nature

- Is Dark Matter (DM) a new particle?
- Constraint on DM mass and interactions
  - should be ‘dark’ (no e.m. interaction)
  - should weakly interact with SM particles
  - should provide the correct relic abundance
  - should be compatible with CMB power spectrum

Standard Model reminder:
SM = U(1)_{EM} \times SU(2)_{Weak} \times SU(3)_{Strong}

Dark Sector or Hidden Sector (DM not directly charged under SM interactions)
**Dark Matter**

- “Minimal case”: Dark Matter couples to Standard Model (SM) particles through a kinetic mixing term → **Dark Photon A’** *(mixes with SM photon)*
  
  - Decays depending in the mass of the mediator and decaying products

\[
\mathcal{L}_{mix} = -\frac{\epsilon}{2} F_{\mu\nu}^{EM} F_{\mu\nu}^{DM}
\]

- DM is a new type of matter → The DM has two possible scenarios
  - DM interacts with the same forces as in SM
  - DM interacts through **new forces**

- Not need to introduce new interactions
  - Super-symmetric candidates: AXIONS
  - **Mirror Matter**
Let’s do precision physics

- Symmetry: feature of the system that is preserved or remains unchanged under some transformation.
- Symmetries in Physics are important → Invariant → Laws of Nature
- Standard Model 3-symmetries: C-, P- and T-symmetry
- Weak interactions violates parity (P).
  First experimental confirmations:

  \[
  \mathcal{L}_{\gamma\gamma'} = -\epsilon F_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma} F_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma'}
  \]

- Mirror Matter (or Alice Matter) was proposed as an explanation of Parity symmetry violation [T.D., Yang C. N. Phys. Rev. 1956. V. 104. P. 254.]
- Each particle has a mirror partner with the same properties and opposite chirality (left/right-handed)
- Mirror particles interact with normal matter mainly through gravity → DM candidates
- \( \gamma - \) mirror \( \gamma' \) interaction via kinetic mixing
Orthopositronium

Positronium (Ps)

\[ ^1S_0 \] Para-positronium
\[ \tau (p-Ps) \approx 125 \text{ ps} \]

\[ ^3S_1 \] Ortho-positronium
\[ \tau (o-Ps) \approx 142 \text{ ns} \]

Ps pure leptonic system:
- Clean experimental system (no background)
- Lifetime accurately described with Quantum Electrodynamics (QED) theory

\[ \Gamma (o-Ps \rightarrow 3\gamma, 5\gamma) = \frac{2(\pi^2 - 9)\alpha^6 m_e}{9\pi} \left[ 1 + A\frac{\alpha}{\pi} + \frac{\alpha^2}{3} \ln \alpha + B\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^2 \right. \\
\left. - \frac{3\alpha^3}{2\pi} \ln^2 \alpha + C\frac{\alpha^3}{\pi} \ln \alpha + D\left(\frac{\alpha}{\pi}\right)^3 + \ldots \right] \]

Theory QED prediction

\[ \Gamma = 7.039979(11) \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \]

Experimental values

\[ \Gamma = 7.0401 \pm 0.0007 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \] Tokyo group

\[ \Gamma = 7.0404 \pm 0.0010 \pm 0.0008 \times 10^6 \text{ s}^{-1} \] Ann Arbor group

Theory predictions 100 times more precise:
\[ 10^{-6} \text{ vs } 10^{-4} \]
Mirror Matter in o-Ps

- o-Ps can be connected via one-photon annihilation to its mirror version (o-Ps’) and can be confirmed in experiments
  - o-Ps oscillates into its mirror partner o-Ps’
  - Only mimicked by very-rare decay from Standard Model \( \text{Br}(\text{oPs}\rightarrow \nu\bar{\nu}) < O(10^{-18}) \)
  - **Precision measurements of the o-Ps decay rate and compare it to QED calculations.**
  - NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112

The o-Ps’ \(\rightarrow\) invisible decay would manifest as an increase of the observed lifetime respect to the expected value \(\rightarrow\) Precision measurement of the o-Ps lifetime

[P. Crivelli et al 2010 JINST 5 P08001]
J-PET (Jagiellonian-PET TOMOGRAPHY)

- Multidisciplinary detector
- Portable/modular detector layer with higher detection probability
- High performance detector with high timing resolution
- High acceptance
- Trigger-less and reconfigurable DAQ system
  - Data has no filters: all data acquired is unfiltered
  - GPS trilateration reconstruction of the interaction point

Positronium imaging with the novel multiphoton PET scanner
Moskal, P. et al.
Science Advances 7 (2021) eabh4394

Testing CPT symmetry in ortho-positronium decays with positronium annihilation tomography
P. Moskal, A. Gajos et al
Nature Communications 12 (2021) 5658

Positronium in medicine and biology
Nature Reviews Physics 1, pages 527-529 (2019)
- NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112
- Mirror Matter search with J-PET detector and rare and forbidden decay studies
- New modular design of J-PET
  - Modular layer is portable
  - Re-configurable and higher efficiency
  - Allows future measurements with positron beam
- Measurements already performed at The Cyclotron Centre Bronowice, Trento (INFN), and Warsaw University, and Cracow Hospital
Radioactive source Na

Precise measurement of the o-Ps lifetime looking for hints of new physics

- Source activity 1 MBq = $10^6$ e$^+$/s
- o-Ps formed in vacuum chamber with probability 29%
- Number of o-Ps after 2 years
  \[10^{13} \text{o-Ps formed}\]
- Sensitivity below $O(10^{-5})$
- Photon mixing strength $\varepsilon < O(10^{-7})$

Main competitor ETH Zurich

- [Phys. Rev. D 97, 092008]
- Slow positron beam ($1.5 \times 10^4$ e$^+$/s)
Dark Photon with J-PET

- A model involving a dark photon $U$ decaying into $\nu\nu$ or light DM can be explored with the JPET data
- Monte Carlo studies to set the feasibility of the analysis using the J-PET detector
- Contact with theoretitian P. Fayet

P. Fayet and M. Mezard

$\nu\nu\rightarrow U\gamma$

$\sigma(1^3S_1 \rightarrow \gamma U) \approx \frac{4}{(1-x^4)} \text{s}$

$x = \frac{m_U}{2m_e}$

Master thesis of Justyna Mędrala

Dark Photon with J-PET

- A model involving a dark photon $U$ decaying into $\nu\nu$ or light DM can be explored with the JPET data
- Monte Carlo studies to set the feasibility of the analysis using the J-PET detector

### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$m_U$ [keV]</th>
<th>0.0</th>
<th>255.5</th>
<th>511.0</th>
<th>715.4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>geometric</td>
<td></td>
<td>70.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>detection</td>
<td>17.47</td>
<td>17.90</td>
<td>19.41</td>
<td>22.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contribution from deposited energy</td>
<td>74.52</td>
<td>72.00</td>
<td>61.18</td>
<td>0.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>contribution from the time window ($t_{shift} = 200$ ns, $t_{acc} = 50$ ms)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>total efficiency %</td>
<td>0.114</td>
<td>0.113</td>
<td>0.104</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Background simulation and rejection can be refined
- Full detector response to be incorporated

Master thesis of Justyna Mędrala
Rare decays of the oPs

- JPET **trigger-less acquisition** ensures all data taken is unfiltered
- These decays are practically **background free**
- Selection of the events is similar to the case of 3 gamma events
  - Reduction of systematic uncertainties normalizing to 3 gamma decay

**NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112**

**C-symmetry test**

\[
\frac{(o-Ps \to 4\gamma)/(o-Ps \to 3\gamma)}{< 3.7 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (90\% C.L.)}}
\]


Previous limit (1996) \( < 2.6 \times 10^{-6} \text{ (90\% C.L.)} \)


**QED test**

\[
\frac{(O-Ps \to 5\gamma)/(O-Ps \to 3\gamma)}{= 1.67(99)(37) \times 10^{-6}}
\]


QED value(tree) = \( 0.9591 \times 10^{-6} \)

Previous (1 event, '95) = \( 2.2(2.2) \times 10^{-6} \)


**Run11 data**
Rare decays of the oPs

- simplified Monte Carlo simulations for 4- and 5-gamma decay
- 5-gamma decay GEANT4 J-PET MC ongoing
- Data analysis on-going
- Efficiencies studies
- Background characterization for Machine Learning algorithms separation

Ph.D. thesis of Pooja Tanty

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Relative eff. (in %)</th>
<th>4 $\gamma$</th>
<th>5 $\gamma$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{geo}$</td>
<td>11.75</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{det}$</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{reg}$</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>17.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Eff. (in frac.)</td>
<td>4 $\gamma$</td>
<td>5 $\gamma$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\epsilon_{reg} \times \epsilon_{det} \times \epsilon_{geo}$</td>
<td>$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Background suppression using Machine Learning (ML)

Multiphoton decays background dominated by 2-gamma and 3-gamma events w/w.o prompt and/or w/w.o. scattered photons plus the random coincidences/S/B enhancement searched. Features = observables to discriminate

4-gamma decay oPs simplified MC

Features (4-gamma):
• 5 x energy-based
• 4 x angular-based
• 19 distance and time-based
• 4 x vertex reco-based

In collaboration with W. Krzemien
Background suppression using Machine Learning (ML)

Vertex reconstruction:
- Trilateration-like method
- Nelder-Mead algorithm
- Loss function can be regularized with energy-momentum constraints

4-gama decay of oPs reconstruction

Work on ML application
- Boosted Decision Trees (XGBoost)
- Deep Neural Networks (PyTorch)

MC - features

Preliminary

In collaboration with W. Krzemien
Conclusions


Method: Precise determination of the lifetime of the Positronium to compare to the QED theory expectation. Machine learning techniques to reduce the background sources and to be later on implemented in medical imaging. Monte Carlo dedicated modeling of DM mediator and rare decays.

Facility: J-PET tomograph at Jagiellonian University. High performance and timing resolution with trigger-less acquisition system. Modular/portable configuration.
Thank you
Mirror Matter in J-PET: Studies

K. Dulski et al. NIM A 1008 (2021) 165452
Analysis o-Ps lifetime

- Machine Learning (ML) models tested for background identification and discrimination
  - Number of features, different architectures, strategies, correlations, etc … studies on-going
  - Implemented in Keras + TensorFlow
  - Training, validation and test performed in GEANT4 Monte Carlo (MC) simulations with J-PET detector response
  - Work in collaboration with Dr. Krzemien & B. Klósek
  - Comparison with baseline model corresponding to standard selection criteria
  - Main preliminary focus studies efficient signal oPs/pick-off discrimination

- Preliminary results
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Dark Matter: WIMPs

- WIMPs (Weakly Interacting Massive Particles)

- Massive DM with massive mediator
- For ~100 GeV DM mass, weak-scale mediators provide reasonable annihilation rate and range of DM-scattering rates

- No signal of DM in direct detection
- Experiments don’t have sensitivity (almost) to light DM (< 1 GeV)

arXiv:1903.03026
Dark Matter: mass and interaction

- Based on the direct searches outcome a first idea comes: the DM interaction is in the range of the weak force (WIMPs) but the DM particles mass in the TeV range

\[ \sigma \sim g_{DM}^2 g_{SM}^2 \frac{M_{DM}^2}{M_{\text{mediator}}^4} \sim \epsilon^2 \alpha_{DM} \frac{M_{DM}}{M_{\text{mediator}}^4} \]

\text{Light Dark Matter (< TeV)}

\text{New mediators}

\text{New Force}
Light Dark Matter

- **Dark Matter with a weak interaction (new force!)**
  - Direct Detection is (almost) impossible
    - Low energies would require a complete new technology

- **Lab-based DM search**
  - covers an unexplored mass region
  - We do it in our labs/colliders/accelerators

---

Dark Sector or Hidden Sector (DM not directly charged under SM interactions)
Main competitor

- **Searches in vacuum** [Phys. Rev. D 97, 09200]
  - Slow positron beam (15000 e+ /s)
  - BR < 5.9 × 10^{-4} (90% C.L.)
  - Photon mixing strength $\varepsilon < 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% C.L.)

- Source activity 1 MBq = $10^6$ e+/s
- o-Ps formed in vacuum chamber with probability 29%
- o-Ps formation triggered by emission e+ and de-excitation gamma quanta
- Number of o-Ps after 2 years $10^{13}$ o-Ps formed

- Probability registering the gamma quanta in J-PET (energy dependent)
  - De-excitation quanta 20%
  - 3 gamma decay 2%
- After 2 year data taking we will have registered $\sim 10^{13}$ o-Ps
- Sensitivity O(10^{-5})
- Photon mixing strength $\varepsilon < O(10^{-7})$
“zero-signal” experiment

“zero-signal” experiment performed at ETH in Zurich with common characteristics:

- Time measurement: time start by triggering on positron, time stop when detecting any of the annihilation photons
- Use of a calorimeter (BGO crystals) to measure the energy of γ from ortho positronium decay products and calculate $E_{\text{tot}} = \sum E_i$.
- Search for excess events (peak) in the spectrum below the noise level threshold
- The shape of the background (noise) below noise threshold based on MC simulations.

Decay not observed
UL calculated for BR

- **Searches in vacuum** [Phys. Rev. D 97, 092008]
  - $\text{BR} < 5.9 \times 10^{-4}$ (90% C.L.)
  - Photon mixing strength $\epsilon < 3.1 \times 10^{-7}$ (90% C.L.)
Searching for „zero-signal” events

Several measurements by ETHZ group

- Use of slow positron beam (~15000 e^+/s) on thin silica films (~30% prob. of o-Ps)
- Micro-Channel Plate detector to tag positron (Start signal)
- Highly hermetic BGO calorimeter (total signal efficiency ~92%)
- Decay of o-Ps in a vacuum cavity

\[ \text{BR}(o-Ps \rightarrow \text{invisible}) < 5.9 \times 10^{-4}, \quad 90\% \text{ C.L.} \]

\[ \varepsilon < 3.1 \times 10^{-7} \quad (90\% \text{ C. L.}) \]
$\theta_{23} + \theta_{12} > 180$

$\theta_{23} + \theta_{12} = 180$

$\theta_{23} + \theta_{12} < 180$

3 Hit angles
The main experimental challenge: pick-off effect

\[ \lambda_{\text{obs}}(t) = \lambda_{o-Ps} + \lambda_{\text{pick}}(t) \]

\[ \lambda_{\text{pick}}(t) = n\sigma_{\alpha}v(t) \]

velocity of o-Ps

target density

annihilation cross-section
Mirror Matter in J-PET: Studies

- **4-gamma events** to reconstruct the lifetime
- Accurate measurement/\textit{Precision Frontier}
  - High purity/high statistics

**Event pre-selection/identification:**
- 4 hit multiplicity
- 3 annihilation gamma + de-excitation
  - Time-Over-Threshold (TOT) selection → Compton edges
  - Ortho-Ps angular identification
  - Other decay features

\begin{verbatim}
JPetTimeWindowMC.fEvents.@fHits.size()
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
MC o-PS → 3g
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
PRELIMINARY
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
Entries 1089507
Mean 0.1221
Std Dev 0.3638
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
[PRELIMINARY]
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
annihilation
de-excitation
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
Number of Hits
\end{verbatim}

\begin{verbatim}
Time over Threshold [ps]
\end{verbatim}
Machine Learning studies with MC simulations
- **Deep Neural Network**
- Challenge: Imbalanced dataset (oPs/Pick-off ratio very small)
- **Different strategies tested-ongoing**: under-sampling, over-sampling (bootstrap), NN re-weighting
- Goal classification model robust to the variation in the oPs/Pick-off ratio
- In collaboration with Dr. Krzemien & B. Klósek

Input Layer (nr features)
Hidden Layers (2*nr feature neurons)
Output Layer (1 neuron)

true_ops-ROC

AUC = 0.982

true_ops-PrecisionRecall

VERY PRELIMINARY
Rare decays of the oPs

- Monte Carlo simulations for 4- and 5-gamma decay in preparation
- Data analysis on-going
- Efficiencies studies in evaluation

5-gamma

4-gamma

Ph.D. thesis of Pooja Tanty
In collaboration with W. Krzemien

Very Preliminary
• NCN grant Nr 2020/38/E/ST2/00112
• Mirror Matter search with J-PET detector
• Development of a tagger system
  • Positron tagger implementation to trigger the start of the reaction
  • Reduction of background
  • Additional start measurement
  • Extra measurement to trigger the formation of positronium
• Use of modular layer J-PET for a higher efficiency
  • Modular layer is portable
  • Allows future measurements with positron beam
  • Measurements already performed at The Cyclotron Centre Bronowice, Trento (INFN), and Warsaw University