## Possible scenario of dynamical chiral symmetry breaking in the instanton liquid

Based on arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD



The 10th International Conference on Quarks and Nuclear Physics @ Barcelona 8-12 July 2024 <u>Yamato Suda</u> and Daisuke Jido Tokyo Institute of Technology supported by JST SPRING, Japan

#### introduction

- we revisit chiral symmetry breaking ( $\chi$ SB) in interacting instanton liquid model (IILM)
- even though ordinary  $\chi$ SB condition is NOT satisfied, chiral symmetry can be broken in anomaly driven way (explain later)
- interestingly, anomaly driven scenario has direct connection to nature of hadron, e.g., sigma meson

#### introduction

- we revisit chiral symmetry breaking ( $\chi$ SB) in interacting instanton liquid model (IILM)
- even though ordinary  $\chi$ SB condition is NOT satisfied, chiral symmetry can be broken in anomaly driven way (explain later)
- interestingly, anomaly driven scenario has direct connection to nature of hadron, e.g., sigma meson

#### outline

- introduction to ordinary  $\chi$ SB
- introduction to anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB
- application to instanton liquid model
- summary

[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Lev. 122, 345 (1961)

historically NJL introduced SU(3)xSU(3) chiral symmetry and coupling  $g_s$  is large enough to break it dynamically NJL model:

$$ec{\pi} = ar{q}ec{ au}\gamma_5 q \ \sigma = ar{q}q$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{a=0}^{8} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\lambda_a\gamma_5 q)^2 \right]$$

[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Lev. 122, 345 (1961)

historically NJL introduced SU(3)xSU(3) chiral symmetry and coupling  $g_s$  is large enough to break it dynamically NJL model:

 $\vec{\pi} = \bar{q}\vec{\tau}\gamma_5 q$ 

cally 
$$\sigma = \bar{q}q$$
  
 $\mathcal{L}_{int} = \sum_{a=0}^{8} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\lambda_a \gamma_5 q)^2 \right]$ 

**ordinary**  $\chi$ **SB** in three-flavor NJL model with chiral limit: large enough  $g_S$  breaks  $\chi$ S dynamically, otherwise, it doesn't break  $\chi$ S



[1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Lev. 122, 345 (1961)

historically NJL introduced SU(3)xSU(3) chiral symmetry and coupling  $g_s$  is large enough to break it dynamically NJL model:

 $\vec{\pi} = \bar{q}\vec{\tau}\gamma_5 q$ 

cally 
$$\sigma = \bar{q}q$$
  
 $\mathcal{L}_{int} = \sum_{a=0}^{8} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\lambda_a \gamma_5 q)^2 \right]$ 

**ordinary**  $\chi$ **SB** in three-flavor NJL model with chiral limit: large enough  $g_S$  breaks  $\chi$ S dynamically, otherwise, it doesn't break  $\chi$ S



[2] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz and Yu. Tyuplin, Phys. Lett. **59**, 85 (1975);[3] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976)

for chiral U(1)\_A symmetry

BPST and 't Hooft found instantons and new effective Lagrangian

to violate the U(1)\_A symmetry (U(1)\_A anomaly)



E. Shuryak, "Nonperturbative Topological Phenomena in QCD and Related theory" (2021) DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-62990-8

[2] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz and Yu. Tyuplin, Phys. Lett. **59**, 85 (1975);[3] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976)

for chiral U(1)\_A symmetry BPST and 't Hooft found instantons and new effective Lagrangian to violate the U(1)\_A symmetry (U(1)\_A anomaly)

[4] E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. B 203 (1982) 93; 116

E. Shuryak developed instanton liquid model instead of  $g_S$  and  $\Lambda$  of NJL another two parameters to reproduce  $\chi$ SB

$$n_{\rm inst} \approx 1 \ {\rm fm}^{-4}$$
  
 $ho \approx 1/3 \ {\rm fm}$ 



E. Shuryak, "Nonperturbative Topological Phenomena in QCD and Related theory" (2021) DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-62990-8

[2] A. Belavin, A. Polyakov, A. Schwartz and Yu. Tyuplin, Phys. Lett. **59**, 85 (1975);[3] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. **37**, 8 (1976)

for chiral U(1)\_A symmetry BPST and 't Hooft found instantons and new effective Lagrangian to violate the U(1)\_A symmetry (U(1)\_A anomaly)

[4] E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. B 203 (1982) 93; 116

E. Shuryak developed instanton liquid model instead of  $g_S$  and  $\Lambda$  of NJL another two parameters to reproduce  $\chi$ SB

$$n_{\rm inst} \approx 1 \ {\rm fm}^{-4}$$
  
 $\rho \approx 1/3 \ {\rm fm}$ 



E. Shuryak, "Nonperturbative Topological Phenomena in QCD and Related theory" (2021) DOI:10.1007/978-3-030-62990-8

—— we use (explain later)

10/52

Interacting instanton liquid model 1990s summed all orders of 't Hooft vertex

[5] M. Kobayashi, H. Kondo and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 45, 1955 (1971)

to include U(1)\_A breaking in chiral effective theories (L $\sigma$ M, NJL model) Kobayashi-Maskawa-'t Hooft (KMT) term, which is a part of 't Hooft vertex, are introduced by hand  $\int_{C_{1}}^{8} \frac{g_{S}}{2} \left[ (\bar{a} \lambda_{1} a)^{2} + (\bar{a} i \gamma_{2} \lambda_{2} a)^{2} \right] + \frac{g_{D}}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a} : (1 - \gamma_{2})a) + \frac{g_{D}}{2} \right]$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{a=0} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a q)^2 \right] + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{q}_i(1-\gamma_5)q_j + \text{H.c.}) \right]$$

[5] M. Kobayashi, H. Kondo and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 45, 1955 (1971)

to include U(1)\_A breaking in chiral effective theories (L $\sigma$ M, NJL model) Kobayashi-Maskawa-'t Hooft (KMT) term, which is a part of 't Hooft vertex, are introduced by hand  $\int_{1}^{8} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{a}) \ a \right]^2 + (\bar{a}i\alpha_F) \ a i^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}i(1 - \alpha_F)a) + H \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}i(1 - \alpha_F)a) + H \right]^2$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a q)^2 \right] + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{q}_i(1-\gamma_5)q_j + \text{H.c.}) \right]$$

[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP **2021**, 093D02 (2021)

**anomaly driven**  $\chi$ **SB** in three-flavor NJL model with chiral limit: even though  $g_S$  is not sufficient to break chiral symmetry, large enough anomaly contribution can break  $\chi$ S dynamically



[5] M. Kobayashi, H. Kondo and T. Maskawa, Prog. Theor. Phys. 45, 1955 (1971)

to include U(1)\_A breaking in chiral effective theories (L $\sigma$ M, NJL model) Kobayashi-Maskawa-'t Hooft (KMT) term, which is a part of 't Hooft vertex, are introduced by hand  $\int_{C_{int}}^{8} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{a}) \ a \right]^2 + (\bar{a}i\alpha_s) \ a \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{a}_i(1 - \alpha_s)a_i + H) \right]^2 + \frac{g_D}{2$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{int}} = \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \frac{g_S}{2} \left[ (\bar{q}\lambda_a q)^2 + (\bar{q}i\gamma_5\lambda_a q)^2 \right] + \frac{g_D}{2} \left[ \det(\bar{q}_i(1-\gamma_5)q_j + \text{H.c.}) \right]$$

[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP 2021, 093D02 (2021)

**anomaly driven**  $\chi$ **SB** in three-flavor NJL model with chiral limit: even though  $g_S$  is not sufficient to break chiral symmetry, large enough anomaly contribution can break  $\chi$ S dynamically





[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to apply the concept of anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB to other systems,

introduce extension from coupling constant to curvature of effective potential



[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to apply the concept of anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB to other systems, introduce extension from coupling constant to curvature of effective potential



[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to apply the concept of anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB to other systems, introduce extension from coupling constant to curvature of effective potential



[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to apply the concept of anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB to other systems, introduce extension from coupling constant to curvature of effective potential



[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP **2021**, 093D02 (2021)

they state that if anomaly driven breaking occurs in nature, the mass of sigma meson as chiral partner of pion (chiral sigma) should be smaller than about 800 MeV/ $c^2$ 



[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP **2021**, 093D02 (2021)

they state that if anomaly driven breaking occurs in nature, the mass of sigma meson as chiral partner of pion (chiral sigma) should be smaller than about 800 MeV/ $c^2$ 



[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP **2021**, 093D02 (2021)

they state that if anomaly driven breaking occurs in nature, the mass of sigma meson as chiral partner of pion (chiral sigma) should be smaller than about 800 MeV/ $c^2$ 

-> by some reason, if the light scalar resonance around  $500 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  in  $\pi\pi$  scattering with I = 0is chiral sigma, it has large contribution from chiral sigma



[6] S. Kono, et al., PTEP **2021**, 093D02 (2021)

they state that if anomaly driven breaking occurs in nature, the mass of sigma meson as chiral partner of pion (chiral sigma) should be smaller than about 800 MeV/ $c^2$ 

-> by some reason, if the light scalar resonance around  $500 \text{ MeV}/c^2$  in  $\pi\pi$  scattering with I = 0is chiral sigma, it has large contribution from chiral sigma

alternatively, if we could rule out anomaly driven solution, we would have the lower limit of the mass of chiral sigma



#### Application to instanton liquid model

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to verify that anomaly driven breaking in other systems

rather than chiral effective theories

in chiral effective theories, U(1)\_A anomaly term is introduced by hand

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to verify that anomaly driven breaking in other systems rather than chiral effective theories in chiral effective theories, U(1)\_A anomaly term is introduced by hand

->Does U(1)\_A anomaly effect included model show same scenario? What mechanisms are underlying?

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to verify that anomaly driven breaking in other systems rather than chiral effective theories in chiral effective theories, U(1)\_A anomaly term is introduced by hand

->Does U(1)\_A anomaly effect included model show same scenario? What mechanisms are underlying?

calculate vacuum energy density corresponding to eff. pot. and quark condensate

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

to verify that anomaly driven breaking in other systems rather than chiral effective theories in chiral effective theories, U(1)\_A anomaly term is introduced by hand

->Does U(1)\_A anomaly effect included model show same scenario? What mechanisms are underlying?

calculate vacuum energy density corresponding to eff. pot. and quark condensate

-> positive curvature is our criterion to determine anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB

#### Model

[8] T. Schafer and E. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. 70 323 (1998).

Interacting instanton liquid model (IILM), E. Shuryak 1990s it enables us to treat the QCD vacuum as statistical mechanics of instantons and anti-instantons



anti-instanton

#### Model

[8] T. Schafer and E. Shuryak, Rev. Mod. Phys. **70** 323 (1998).

Interacting instanton liquid model (IILM), E. Shuryak 1990s it enables us to treat the QCD vacuum as statistical mechanics of instantons and anti-instantons



Instanton-instanton interaction

anti-instanton

described by Euclidean partition function saturated by instantons

$$Z_{\text{IILM}} = \frac{1}{N_{+}!N_{-}!} \int \left( \prod_{i=1}^{N_{+}+N_{-}} \underline{d\Omega_{i}f(\rho_{i})} \right) \exp(-\underline{S_{\text{int}}}) \prod_{f=1}^{N_{f}} \underline{\text{Det}}(\gamma_{\mu}D_{\mu} + m_{f})$$
  
Semiclassical Instanton amplitude  
Collective coordinates of instantons

instanton

#### Simulation detail

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

Model action (weight func. for Monte Carlo calc.)

- Full  

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}} - \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \log \left[ \text{Det} \left( \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} + m_f \right) \right]$$
- Quench  

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}}$$

#### Simulation detail

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

Model action (weight func. for Monte Carlo calc.)

- Full  

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}} - \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \log \left[ \text{Det} \left( \gamma_\mu D_\mu + m_f \right) \right]$$
- Quench  

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}}$$

Setup

- color & flavor : Nc=3 & SU(3)\_f limit / Quench
- $m_q$  (MeV) : 37 <  $m_q$  < 70 for SU(3)\_f, 2.8 <  $m_q$  < 28 for Quench
- $\# \text{ of } I \& \overline{I} \text{ (fixed)} : 16+16$
- # of conf. : 5000

#### Simulation detail

[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

Model action (weight func. for Monte Carlo calc.)

- Full  $S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}} - \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \log \left[ \text{Det} \left( \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} + m_f \right) \right]$ - Quench  $S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}} - \sum_{f=1}^{N_f} \log \left[ \text{Det} \left( \gamma_{\mu} D_{\mu} + m_f \right) \right]$ 

$$S_{\text{eff}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{N} \log \left[ f(\rho_i) \right] + S_{\text{int}}$$

#### Setup

- color & flavor : Nc=3 & SU(3)\_f limit / Quench
- $m_q$  (MeV) : 37 <  $m_q$  < 70 for SU(3)\_f, 2.8 <  $m_q$  < 28 for Quench
- $\# \text{ of } I \& \overline{I} \text{ (fixed)} : 16+16$
- # of conf. : 5000

Observables

- Vacuum energy  $F = -\ln Z / V$
- Quark condensate  $\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$

10 )

(for zero temperature)

(one flavor amount w/o free contribution) 32/52

Vacuum energy density (effective pot.) vs. quark condensate shows chiral symmetry breaking



Vacuum energy density (effective pot.) vs. quark condensate shows chiral symmetry breaking





Vacuum energy density (effective pot.) vs. quark condensate shows chiral symmetry breaking



<sup>[7]</sup> YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD



Vacuum energy density (effective pot.) vs. quark condensate shows chiral symmetry breaking



600

300

 $N_{f} = 3$ 

 $m = 37 {
m MeV}$ 

 $m = 70 \mathrm{MeV}$ 

 $= 54 \mathrm{MeV}$ 

#### Result: Curvature [full]

**positive curvature** (here *C*<sub>2</sub>) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges



#### Result: Curvature [full]

**positive curvature** (here *C*<sub>2</sub>) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges





[7] YS and D. Jido, arXiv:2402.05425 to be published in PRD

#### Result: Curvature [full]

**positive curvature** (here *C*<sub>2</sub>) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges





#### Result: Curvature [full] $N_{f} = 3$ 600 positive curvature (here $C_2$ ) is obtained $m = 37 \mathrm{MeV}$ m = 54 MeV $F({ m MeV/fm}^3)$ by polynomial fitting of data $m=70~{ m MeV}$ 300 in wide quark mass ranges **Fit** order : K = 2Fit order : K = 34.0 Fit order : K = 4 $10^{5}({ m MeV}^{-2})$ -300 $(221.0)^{3^{-}}$ $(278.5)^{3}$ $(175.4)^3$ $(300.0)^3$ $(253.0)^3$ $-\langle \bar{q}q \rangle$ (MeV fitting $C_2$ $\times$ $C_2$ $F(\langle \bar{q}q \rangle) = \sum C_j \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^j$ 0.0 i=0chi-square/d.o.f. $\chi^{2}_{\rm d.o.f.} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm d.o.f.}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(y_i - f(x_i))^2}{\sigma^2_{y_i} + \sigma^2_{x_i} [f'(x_i)]^2}$ with x&y errors: 80 30 40705060 m (MeV)40/52



#### Result: Curvature [quench]

negative curvature (here C<sub>2</sub>) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges



42/52

#### Result: Curvature [quench]

negative curvature (here  $C_2$ ) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges





43/52

#### Result: Curvature [quench]

negative curvature (here  $C_2$ ) is obtained by polynomial fitting of data in wide quark mass ranges





#### Result: Curvature [quench] 600 $N_f = 0$ negative curvature (here $C_2$ ) is obtained $m=2.8~{ m MeV}$ m = 14 MeV300 $F({ m MeV/fm}^3)$ by polynomial fitting of data $m=28~{ m MeV}$ in wide quark mass ranges $\bigstar$ Fit order : K = 2-300Fit order : K = 3Fit order : K = 40.0Quenched -600 $(200.8)^{3} (253.0)^{3} (289.6)^{3} (318.8)^{3} (343.4)^{3} (364.9)^{3}$ $C_2 imes 10^5 ({ m MeV}^-$ Quenched $-\langle \bar{q}q \rangle \,({ m MeV}^3)$ fitting $C_2$ $F(\langle \bar{q}q \rangle) = \sum C_j \langle \bar{q}q \rangle^j$ -4.0i=0chi-square/d.o.f. with x&y errors: $\chi^2_{\rm d.o.f.} = \frac{1}{N_{\rm d.o.f.}} \sum_{i=1}^{M} \frac{(y_i - f(x_i))^2}{\sigma_{y_i}^2 + \sigma_{x_i}^2 [f'(x_i)]^2}$ 0 10 2030 m (MeV)45/52



#### Discussion KMT term for three-flavor NJL model

three-flavor NJL model with U(1)\_A anomaly term includes only 6-quark interaction



#### Discussion KMT term for three-flavor NJL model

three-flavor NJL model with U(1)\_A anomaly term includes only 6-quark interaction

the form of the  $N_f = 3$  effective 't Hooft Lagrangian on the other hand, in principle, IILM sums all orders of 't Hooft vertex including 6-quark interaction (c.f. textbook by E. Shuryak in 2021)

 $s_R$  $u_L$  $u_R$ R

 $\mathcal{L}_{I+A} = \int dz \int d_0(\rho) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \left(\frac{\pi^3 \rho^4}{\alpha_s}\right) G\tilde{G}\left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{4}{3} \pi^2 \rho^3\right)^3 \left\{ [(\bar{u}\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}d)(\bar{s}s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s) \right\}$  $+(\bar{u}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)]+\frac{3}{8}\left[(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar$  $\times (\overline{d}t^{a}\gamma^{5}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) - \frac{3}{4} [(\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) +$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)] -\frac{9}{20}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s) + (\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s)$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)]+(2 \ \ cyclic \ \ permutations \ \ u\leftrightarrow d\leftrightarrow s)$  $-\frac{9}{40}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^c\gamma^5 s)]$  $-\frac{9}{32}if^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  $\times (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u) (\bar{d}t^b \sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5 d) (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s)] \bigg\}$ 

#### Discussion KMT term for three-flavor NJL model

three-flavor NJL model with U(1)\_A anomaly term includes only 6-quark interaction

the form of the  $N_f = 3$  effective 't Hooft Lagrangian on the other hand, in principle, IILM sums all orders of 't Hooft vertex including 6-quark interaction (c.f. textbook by E. Shuryak in 2021)

thus, it is natural to reproduce anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB in IILM as in the NJL model -> have shown by our work

 $s_R$  $u_L$  $u_R$ R

 $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{L}_{l+A} = \int dz \int d_0(\rho) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \left(\frac{\pi^3 \rho^4}{\alpha_s}\right) G\widetilde{G} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{4}{3} \pi^2 \rho^3\right)^3 \left\{ \left[(\overline{u} \gamma^5 u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) \right\}$  $+(\bar{u}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)]+\frac{3}{8}\Big[(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}v)(\bar{s}\gamma^{$  $\times (\overline{d}t^{a}\gamma^{5}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) - \frac{3}{4} [(\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) + (\overline{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^{5}s) +$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)] -\frac{9}{20}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s) + (\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s)$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)]+(2 \ \ cyclic \ \ permutations \ \ u\leftrightarrow d\leftrightarrow s)$  $-\frac{9}{40}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^c\gamma^5 s)]$  $-\frac{9}{32}if^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  $\times (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u) (\bar{d}t^b \sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5 d) (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s)] \bigg\}.$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{f}=2} = \int d\rho \, n_{0}(\rho) \left[ \prod_{f} \left( m\rho - \frac{4}{3} \pi^{2} \rho^{3} \bar{q}_{f,R} q_{f,L} \right) + \frac{3}{32} \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi^{2} \rho^{3} \right)^{2} \\ \times \left( \bar{u}_{R} \lambda^{a} u_{L} \bar{d}_{R} \lambda^{a} d_{L} - \frac{3}{4} \bar{u}_{R} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \lambda^{a} u_{L} \bar{d}_{R} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \lambda^{a} d_{L} \right) \right] + (L \leftrightarrow R)$$

$$\overset{\text{the form of } N_{f} = 2 \text{ case } 49/52$$

#### Discussion KMT term for three-flavor NJL model

three-flavor NJL model with U(1)\_A anomaly term includes only 6-quark interaction

the form of the  $N_f = 3$  effective 't Hooft Lagrangian on the other hand, in principle, IILM sums all orders of 't Hooft vertex including 6-quark interaction (c.f. textbook by E. Shuryak in 2021)

thus, it is natural to reproduce anomaly driven  $\chi$ SB in IILM as in the NJL model -> have shown by our work

what would happen in  $N_f = 2$  world? -> no KMT term, but 't Hooft vertex exists

 $s_R$  $u_L$ 

 $\blacktriangleright \mathcal{L}_{l+A} = \int dz \int d_0(\rho) \frac{d\rho}{\rho^5} \frac{1}{N_c^2 - 1} \left(\frac{\pi^3 \rho^4}{\alpha_s}\right) G\widetilde{G} \left(\frac{1}{4}\right) \left(\frac{4}{3} \pi^2 \rho^3\right)^3 \left\{ \left[(\overline{u} \gamma^5 u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}u)(\overline{d}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) \right\}$  $+(\bar{u}\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s)]+\frac{3}{8}\bigg[(\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^a d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^a\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}s)+(\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^a d)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 s)+(\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^a\gamma$  $\times (\overline{d}t^a\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) - \frac{3}{4} [(\overline{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u)(\overline{d}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 d)(\overline{s}s) + (\overline{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\overline{d}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\overline{s}\gamma^5 s) + (\overline{u}t^$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}\gamma^{5}s)] -\frac{9}{20}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s) + (\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}s)$  $+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)+(\bar{u}t^{a}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}u)(\bar{d}t^{b}\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^{5}d)(\bar{s}t^{c}\gamma^{5}s)]+(2 \ \ cyclic \ \ permutations \ \ u\leftrightarrow d\leftrightarrow s)$  $-\frac{9}{40}d^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a u)(\bar{d}t^bd)(\bar{s}\gamma^5 t^cs) + (\bar{u}t^a\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\gamma^5 d)(\bar{s}t^c\gamma^5 s)]$  $-\frac{9}{32}if^{abc}[(\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\gamma\mu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}u)(\bar{d}t^b\sigma_{\nu\gamma}d)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{u}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^c\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s) + (\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}s)(\bar{s}t^a\sigma_{\mu\nu}$  $\times (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s) + (\bar{u}t^a \sigma_{\mu\nu}\gamma^5 u) (\bar{d}t^b \sigma_{\nu\gamma}\gamma^5 d) (\bar{s}t^c \sigma_{\gamma\mu}\gamma^5 s)] \bigg|.$ 

$$\mathcal{L}_{N_{f}=2} = \int d\rho \, n_{0}(\rho) \left[ \prod_{f} \left( m\rho - \frac{4}{3} \pi^{2} \rho^{3} \bar{q}_{f,R} q_{f,L} \right) + \frac{3}{32} \left( \frac{4}{3} \pi^{2} \rho^{3} \right)^{2} \\ \times \left( \bar{u}_{R} \lambda^{a} u_{L} \bar{d}_{R} \lambda^{a} d_{L} - \frac{3}{4} \bar{u}_{R} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \lambda^{a} u_{L} \bar{d}_{R} \sigma_{\mu\nu} \lambda^{a} d_{L} \right) \right] + (L \Leftrightarrow R)$$
the form of  $N_{f} = 2$  case 50/52

#### Summary & More

- U(1)\_A anomaly contrib. to dynamical chiral sym. breaking is studied
- focus on sign of curvature of energy density w.r.t. the quark condensate
- in the IILM, the curvature is positive in full (unquench) simulation
- that implies anomaly driven breaking can be taken place in the IILM

#### Summary & More

- U(1)\_A anomaly contrib. to dynamical chiral sym. breaking is studied
- focus on sign of curvature of energy density w.r.t. the quark condensate
- in the IILM, the curvature is positive in full (unquench) simulation
- that implies anomaly driven breaking can be taken place in the IILM

- what does happen in Nf=2 world?
- how does the meson correlation function behave?
   correlation functions are calculated in many literature,
   but no studies are found in such context