# alaxy mergers and star formation

26

25

24 C

23

22

21

ADISLAU KUBALA STECZ BUDAPEST 1927 - BARCELONA 2002 ndrás<sup>1</sup>; KONCZ, Bendegúz<sup>1</sup>; PÍCHLER, Enikő<sup>1</sup> 1: Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary 2: University of Debrecen, Hungary

Barcelona 2023 May

48

TÓTH, L. Viktor

# Galaxy mergers and star formation

#### TÓTH, L. Viktor<sup>1,2</sup>



)Ó, András1; KONCZ, Bendegúz1; PICHLER, Enikő1

1: Eötvös University, Budapest, Hungary

2: University of Debrecen, Hungary

Barcelona 2023 May

# Galaxy mergers

- "peculiar galaxies" from Arp to JWST
- types of interactions
- examples in the Local Universe
- merger models
- assumed role in galaxy evolution
- JWST tests
- the Illustris Universe model
- megers in the Illustris Universe model

# Star formation

- basics, massive star formation
- tracers
- triggers
- role of SF in chemical evolution
- star formation in spiral galaxies
- infall history and star formation
- starbursts

### Star formation



- "The formation and evolution of galaxies cannot be fully understood until a universal SFR law is revealed." <u>Padoan+2017</u>
- gravoturbulent models of massive star formation with SNe
- $\blacksquare$  SFR may depend on SNe induced turbulence
- Pelkonen+ 2021 for any individual star there is only a weak correlation between the gravitationally-bound prestellar or "progenitor" core mass and the final stellar mass
- the mass reservoir to form high-mass stars is spread over larger scales (core-collapse model is questioned)
- The inertial-inflow model Padoan+ 2020
- ALMA based CMF is under critics Padoan+ 2023
- filaments and fibers in action <u>Hacar+ 2013</u>
- Cores formed uniform density filaments? <u>Hoemann+ 2023</u>

Column-density maps, snapshots at 7 and 28 Myrs from the model of <u>Padoan+ 2023</u> of an ISM region of size  $L_{\text{box}} = 250$  pc and total mass  $M_{\text{box}} = 1.9 \times 10^6 \text{ M}_{\odot}$ , where the turbulence is driven by SNe alone.



# The inertial-inflow model Padoan+ 2020

- gravoturbulent models of massive star formation with SNe
- the origin and subsequent growth of a star are addressed self-consistently in the context of large-scale ISM turbulence
- mass flow of interstellar gas onto a growing star
- scales: inertial inflow, infall, and accretion
- Xu+ 2023 (in prep in ATOMS collaboration)
  - from ALMA sub-mm continuum and spectral line measurements
  - $\rightarrow$  1000AU resolution structure and kinematics
  - → streemers of infalling gas to star forming cores



different scales and corresponding terminologies adopted in the inertial-inflow model. The infall and disk-accretion scales inherit the filamentary structure of the larger scale, but are here depicted as smooth regions for simplicity.

#### Star formation triggers – or not?

- classical approach (<u>Elmegreen</u>)
  - direct compression
  - accumulation of gas
  - cloud collision



- Example 1: Upper Scorpius stellar winds and young S
  - correlation in density-age, providing an empirical expansion law to be tested in other associations,
  - correlation in tangential velocity-age, providing constrains on the dynamics of these substructures and the position of potential past triggering events
  - four potential supernovae events occurred in Upper Scorpius.
  - Briceño-Morales & Chanamé 2023
- Example 2: Rosette Molecular Cloud ionizing stars not
  - Star formation is influenced by the primordial structure of the cloud in spite of the impact of irradiation from the nearby cluster, NGC 2244
  - <u>Bőgner+ 2022</u>

#### Massive star formation – hidden or exposed? Studies in nearby star-forming galaxies

- The exact effects of SNe on giant molecular clouds (GMCs) and their parent galaxies depend
  - on the relative spatial configuration of the SNe, the gas
  - and the density distribution of that gas
- SNe in environments full of low-density gas
  - cools slowly
  - hence are efficient at heating and ejecting material out of the galaxy,
  - resulting in hot, diffuse gas outflows (e.g., Martizzi+ 2016; Andersson+ 2020; Lopez+ zu20).
- SNe in or near dense clouds
  - can destroy GMCs & shut down star formation in these areas,
  - but they cool more rapidly hence are less effective at driving outflows (Walch+2015; Lu+2020)
- Relative distribution of SNe and GMCs must be investigated
- <u>Chen+ 2023</u>: PHANGS:
  - SN explosions are not restricted to only the densest gas,
  - exert feedback across a wide range of molecular gas densities

# Massive SF in NGC628 – the molecular gas environment and star formation

- Results of the ~1" or  $\leq$ 150 pc resolution CO (2–1) maps from the PHANGS-ALMA survey of nearby star-forming galaxies
- the interval during which GMCs in NGC628 are devoid of young massive stars is 18 Myr.
- the embedded phase of star formation lasts for 5.1 Myr about 20% of the total GMC lifetime
- star-forming regions are heavily obscured for 2.3 Myr, thus undetected in  $\mbox{H}\alpha$  emission
- Subsequently, the star-forming region becomes partially exposed, enabling the detection of H $\alpha$  emission along with CO and 21  $\mu m$  emission for 2.7 Myr
- Finally, the molecular clouds are completely dispersed by the stellar feedback, leaving behind only SFR tracers to be detected for an additional 5 Myr, without accompanying CO emission.

### Hubble morphological classes



#### Beyond the "Hubble tuning fork" Halton Arp – Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies (1965)

- Mt. Wilson & Palomar Observatory photographic archive visible
- special spiral systems in 11 categories
- special elliptical galaxies in 5 categories
- another 14 categories with non-spiral or elliptical-looking galaxies
- 8 groups of binary and multiple systems that Arp for some reason did not classify in the spiral and elliptical galaxy categories
- "other" group
- "Large high surface brightness companion"





- <u>Treu+ 2023</u>: 4/19 JWST observed "re-ionozation galaxies" with signatures of mergers/interactions
- Single-band images of galaxies at z > 7 selected by <u>Castellano+ 2022</u> & <u>Leethochawalit+ 2022</u>; in order of increasing wavelength of observation.
- Each postage stamp is 2'x2' on a side. The images are at their native resolution.
- The white continuous lines delimitate the binary detection mask, circles in the bottom right corner of each band are representative of the PSF FWHM size.

### Halton Arp – Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies (1965)

- Mt. Wilson & Palomar Observatory photographic archive visible
- special spiral systems in 11 categories
- special elliptical galaxies in 5 categories
- another 14 categories with non-spiral or elliptical-looking galaxies
- 8 groups of binary and multiple systems that Arp for some reason did not classify in the spiral and elliptical galaxy categories
- "other" group
- "Large high surface brightness companion"



# Arp 84 and Arp 85 (M51 with NGC5195) interaction is a typical reason for peculiarity



- H II regions in M51 were observed using the 2.16 m telescope of the National Astronomical Observatories of the Chinese Academy of Sciences and the 6.5 m Multiple Mirror Telescope with spatial resolution of less than ~100 pc
- H II regions have the stellar age between 50 and 500 Myr, consistent with the recent interaction history
- distribution of  $\Sigma SFR$  is shown in logarithmic scale with the isophotal contours of the H  $\alpha$  emission
- let's compare it to the metallicity



# Arp 85: M51 with



region around the high  $\Sigma$ SFR area at 202.50 +47.23. Bottom layer in greyscale shows the metallicity <u>Wei+ 2020</u>

L.V. Tóth 2023

#### Halton Arp – Atlas of Peculiar Galaxies (1965) ApJS 14, 1

- special spiral systems in 11 categories (largest class)
- special elliptical galaxies in 5 categories
- another 14 categories with non-spiral or elliptical-looking galaxies
- 8 groups of binary and multiple systems that Arp for some reason did not classify in the spiral and elliptical galaxy categories GALAXIES

#294-297

L.V. Tóth 2023

- "other" group
- interaction is not always obvious from Arp's images



#### Arp 269: NGC 4490 & NGC 4485

- 29 HII regions (Hα) Véron & Sauvayre (<u>1965</u>)
- HI tidal tail (Clemens+1998; Pearson+ 2019; <u>Liu+ 2023</u>)
- NGC4490 double nucleus (<u>Lawrence+ 2020</u>): optical & IR+r
- recent 1:8 merger and former (-2,3Ga) merger

41°45



- low metallicity starburst dwarf galaxy MAPS 1231+42 (Clump A) is also connected with the gas envelope (see: C-B-A clump chain)
- interaction trigged the intense star formation in it L.V. Tóth 2023



Apertures (500 pc) are shown surrounding the optical nucleus (OPT), infrared nucleus (IR), and the giant HII regions A and B.

- Quantities that control the interaction
  - The mass ratio of the galaxies:
  - The relative velocity of the galaxies:
  - How close is it to a direct hit?
- Major mergers
  - nearly equal mass
  - small relative velocities
  - very close pass or central hit
- Cannibalism
  - massive and low mass (central+satellite)
  - small relative velocities
  - very close
  - central galaxy can disrupt and then absorb
- Galaxy Harassment
  - massive and low mass
  - high relative velocities
  - close passing by
  - alters the shape & some mass is striped off

#### Galaxy interaction types



cD galaxy in the Abell 3827 cluster with remnants of 6 massive galaxies & 4 more to be cannibalised Credit: Michael J. West (St. Mary's U.), ESO 1-

L.V. Tóth 20<sup>2</sup>m Telescope

#### Mergers and galaxy evolution

- very early quenching while the fragments of the galaxy are still small, most mass assembly occurs in dry mergers along the red sequence
- maximally late quenching, galaxies assemble most of their mass while still blue and then merge once to become red with no further dry merging
- "mixed" scenario with contributions from both mechanisms
- the gas supply of some disks may simply be choked off or stripped out without mergers, to produce disky S0s (tracks would be vertical)
- kinematic pairs from the DEEP2 Redshift Survey + other surveys at lower redshifts
  - wet mergers dominate merging at 0.2<z<1.2
  - the relative importance of dry and mixed mergers increases over time.
  - 22%-54% of present-day L galaxies have experienced major mergers since z=1.2, depending on the definition of major mergers.



#### Mergers and galaxy evolution

- Fraction of major mergers for wet (blue), dry (red), and mixed mergers (green) as a function of redshift.
- Data points: results from DEEP2, TKRS, CNOC2, & MGC surveys
- The three lines show the semi-analytical predictions of Sp-Sp, E-E, and E-Sp mergers by Khochfar & Burkert (2003)
- fraction of dry (E-E) & mixed (E-Sp) mergers with I redshift
- 1.3 million galaxies from the SDSS DR16 photometric catalog
- major or minor merger galaxy, splitting the classifications by merger stages (early, late, postcoalescence)



<u>Lin+ 2008, ApJ 681, 232</u>



#### Last major merger of the Milky Way galaxy: Gaia-Enceladus probably completed at z~2 (10 Gyr ago)

- giant spiral galaxies, like Milky Way, form hierarchically through mergers with less massive galaxies at z < 1, satellite quenching becomes more important (<u>Behroozi+ 2019</u>)
- most of the merging stellar populations are tidally disrupted during infall, and form various structures in today's Milky Way stellar halo (<u>Cooper et al. 2010</u>)
- stars with metallicities typical of the thick disk but with halo-like kinematics: the Gaia-Enceladus
- a starburst in the thick disk appears to have been triggered 10 Gyr ago ( Helmi 2020)





Distribution of halo stars selected kinematically

kinematically Other merger events discovered in wide-field photometric surveys:

- Helmi streams: local over-densities
- Sequoia: high energy retrograde structure Myeong+ 2019
- Thamnos: part of the local retrograde halo Koppelman et al. (2019a)

h 2025 agittarius streams

# Sagittarius dE merger

 Galaxy in star form

• even d



### Dust obscured galaxies – a need for JWST

can't be detected in HST rest-frame ultraviolet imaging

- Barrufet<u>+ 2023</u> studied (heavily dust-obscured i.e.  $A_v \sim 2$  mag) HST-dark galaxies
- very deep, high-resolution NIRCam imaging from the Early Release Science Program CEERS.
- 30 HST-dark sources
- massive (log(M/M\_ $_{\odot})$   $\sim$  10), star-forming galaxies at z  $\sim$  2 8, on the main sequence of gx
- observed surface density of ~ 0.8 arcmin<sup>-2</sup> suggests that an important fraction of massive galaxies may have been missing from our cosmic census at z > 3 all the way into the Reionization epoch.
- add an obscured star formation rate density (SFRD) of  $3.2 \times 10^{-3} M_{\odot} yr^{-1} Mpc^{-3}$  at  $z \sim 7$  showing likely presence of dust in the Epoch of Reionization.

# A z=3 flocculent spiral galaxy is relaxing after a merger?

- Dusty spiral galaxy A2744-DSG-z3 at z = 3.059
- A2744-ID33 lensed sub-mm galaxy ( <u>Sun+ 2022</u>)
- composite JWST/NIRISS RGB map (blue: F115W, green: F150W, red: F200W)
- stellar mass  $M_* \sim 10^{10.6} M_{\odot} (Sun + 2022)$
- spiral arms are resolved  $\Delta I \approx 290 \text{ pc}$
- de-lensed star formation rate: 85  $\pm$  30  $M_{\odot}$  yr<sup>-1</sup>
- there are 2 companion (star-forming) galaxies in the same field that could be related
- GLASS-Z grad1 with  $M_* \sim 10^9 M_{\odot}$
- the spiral arms may be triggered by minormerger events at  $z \ge 3$



# IllustrisTNG simulation

- Cosmological magneto-hydrodynamical simulation
- Galaxy formation and evolution
- ACDM cosmology
- Dark matter + gas dynamics
- TNG 100-1 Pillepich+ 2017, Springel+ 2017, Nelson+ 2017, Naiman+ 2017, Marinacci+ 2017
  - Planck2015 cosmological parameters
  - 110.7 Mpc side lenght volume
  - 100 Snapshots from the Universe between z=20.05 and z=0.00
- Galaxy mergers: Merger Tree Segalaxy parameters (mass, star formation rate)



# Merger Tree

- Data structure with galaxy evolution
- Sublink algorithm Rodriguez-Gomez+ 2015 for descendant search
  - 1. Candidates identification for each subhalo (galaxy): subhalos in the following snapshot with common particles
  - 2. Candidates scored: based on a merit function binding energy rank of each particle
  - 3. Unique descendant = descendant with the highest score
- 20 Merger Trees completely independent from each other
- In general progenitors are in the same snapshot, descendant in the following (not really)
- Is there any difference?



- 0. Merger tree
- Mean SFR on logarithmic scale versus redshift
- Significant increase for every z
- Descendant maximum at z = 3
- More than 1 order of magnitude difference at the peak

## Star formation rate

- 0. Merger tree
- Mean SFR on logarithmic scale versus redshift
- Significant increase for every z
- Descendant maximum at z = 3
- More than 1 order of magnitude difference at the peak



### Star formation rate

- Comparing three merger trees (0.,5.,6.)
- Descendant galaxies mean SFR versus redshift
- Similar results 
   ■ peak at around z=3



### Important questions

- large scales:
  - typical galaxy interactions in given space time
  - the ways these are set/influenced
  - starburst periodes
- small scales:
  - relative distribution of ISM and young massive stars
    - conditions influencing it
      - from galaxy interactions down to star forming filaments and cores
  - timescales of cloud evolution
    - start and duration of massive star formation
    - GMC lifetimes
  - timescales of SF

# Galactic cold cores research activities

- observation based description of massive SF
- models of SF
- investigations in the Local Universe including mergers
- utilising Universe models
- including low metallicity galaxies