ESTIMATING THE SHAPES AND SPINS OF ASTEROIDS FROM GAIA DR3 PHOTOMETRY

Eric M. MacLennan*, E. Uvarova, A. Penttilä, K. Muinonen, E. Wilawer, D. A. Oszkiewicz, A. Cellino, P. Tanga, X.-B. Wang, and A. Virkki



- brightness variation as an asteroid rotates provides information about its shape
- traditionally, dense lightcurves are used (top right)
- multiple lightcurves at different viewing aspects can be *inverted* into a best-fit shape model
 - assuming a convex shape gives stable solution(s)
- adoption of accurate sparse photometry has been demonstrated to be useful (*bottom right*)





GAIA DATA RELEASE 3 (GDR3)

- sparse in time *absolute* photometry for 150,000+ asteroids spanning 34 months (Tanga+2022)
- serendipitous observations of asteroids typically occur at solar phase angles, $\alpha > 15^{\circ}$
 - linear phase function is appropriate
 - no opposition effect (greyed out area)
- we use asteroids with at least 25 Gaia transits
 - mostly main-belt asteroids



INVERSION PROCEDURE CHARACTERISTICS

- rotation period, spin axis (latitude & longitude), linear photometric slope, two shape assumptions:
 - 1. triaxial ellipsoid shape (EI)
 - b/a and c/a ratios
 - 2. convex shape (CXI)
 - described by Gaussian surface density
 - maximum of four degrees in spherical harmonic function
- use MCMC to account for observational (random) and systematic (model) uncertainties (Muinonen+2020)
- N = 22,812 asteroids considered





relative rotation period uncertainties using ٠ convex shapes are, on average, lower by an order of magnitude

- we find a significant number of slow rotators ($P_{rot} > 100 hr$)
- tumbling (non-principal axis rotation) is very likely
- some fraction could be binaries with long orbital periods

CXI



MCMC Inversion of Gaia DR3 Photometry / Eric MacLennan

5



UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

- CXI spin axis uncertainties are much smaller than EI
- best-fit solutions for CXI and EI mostly agree



Spin Latitude Uncertainty (deg)

Spin Longitude Uncertainty (deg)



- CXI spin axis uncertainties are much smaller than EI
- best-fit solutions for CXI and EI mostly agree!



Spin Latitude (deg)

HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Spin Longitude (deg)



- non-uniform spin axis longitudes for retrograde spins only
- similar features seen by Cibulková+2016

UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI



8



- better constraints on a/b, compared to c/a
 - c/a estimation requires changes in viewing aspect across observations



VARIATION IN SHAPE: ROTATION PERIOD



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

0

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

b/a

0.8

0.9

1.0

MCMC Inversion of Gaia DR3 Photometry / Eric MacLennan

0.4

0.5

0.6

0.7

b/a

0.8

0.9

1.0

0

VARIATION IN SHAPE: ABSOLUTE MAGNITUDE

Hmag < 10 mag

10 mag < Hmag < 12 mag

12 mag < Hmag < 13 mag



HELSINGIN YLIOPISTO HELSINGFORS UNIVERSITET UNIVERSITY OF HELSINKI

Y



Main Belt Spin Pole Distribution



MCMC Inversion of Gaia DR3 Photometry / Eric MacLennan



- change in brightness with solar phase angle varies with taxonomic class
- best-fit *linear* slopes (βs) using ellipsoid shapes for Main Belt + Hungaria asteroids

- shallow ~ inner MB+H, steep ~ outer MB

Tholen class ($\beta_{\rm S}$ (mag rad ⁻¹)	
E S/M C P D	1.36 1.60 2.16 2.09 2.11	

we will combine these slopes with *Gaia* spectra to classify thousands of asteroids in DR3





- Inversion of *Gaia* DR3 photometry can provide reliable estimates of:
 - rotation period (particularly, slow rotators)
 - spin axis latitude, longitude
 - elongation of shape (e.g. b/a of a triaxial ellipsoid)
 - linear photometric slope
- publication being prepared... all results will be freely available to all