ExHaLe-jet: Modeling blazar jets with an extended hadro-leptonic radiation code

Abstract

Blazars emit across all electromagnetic wavelengths. While the so-called one-zone model has described well both quiescent and flaring states, it cannot explain the radio emission and fails in more complex data sets, such as AP Librae. In order to self-consistently describe the entire electromagnetic spectrum emitted by the jet, extended radiation models are necessary. Notably, kinetic descriptions of extended jets can provide the temporal and spatial evolution of the particle species and the full electromagnetic output. Here, we present the initial results of a newly developed hadro-leptonic extended-jet code: ExHaLejet. As protons take much longer than electrons to lose their energy, they can transport energy over much larger distances than electrons and are therefore essential for the energy transport in the jet. Furthermore, protons induce injection of additional pairs through pion and Bethe-Heitler pair production, which can explain a dominant leptonic radiation signal while still producing neutrinos. Here we discuss the differences between leptonic and hadronic dominated SED solutions, the SED shapes, evolution along the jet flow, and jet powers. We also highlight the important role of external photon fields, such as the accretion disk and the BLR.



Figure courtesy of Jonathan Heil

ExHaLe-jet

- Injection of primary proton and electron distributions, and magnetic field at the base of the jet
- Self-consistent evolution of particles and magnetic field along the jet flow
- Pre-set bulk flow pattern and geometry depending on distance z from the jet base:

 $\Gamma_b(z) \propto \sqrt{z}$ for $z \leq z_{acc}$; $\Gamma_b(z) = \text{const for } z > z_{acc}$; $R(z) \propto an[0.26/\Gamma_b(z)]$

- The jet is cut into numerous slices (cf. dark regions in the sketch), wherein the Fokker-Planck equation is solved for all particles (protons, charged pions, muons, and electrons/positrons)
- For each slice, the radiation and neutrino output is derived
- Secondary electron/positron pairs are carried along the jet flow becoming primaries in the next slice
- Considered processes are synchrotron, pion production, Bethe-Heitler pair production, inverse Compton, and γ - γ pair production
- For particle- γ interactions, we consider all internal photon fields, and the external photon fields: Accretion disk, broad-line region (BLR), and dusty torus (DT)

LUTH l'Observatoire | PSL



Distance evolution (color code) of the intrinsic total spectrum (red/blue solid) for simulation 01A (left) and 01B (right). Black dashed lines mark the total neutrino spectrum. In gray, the external fields.

- The external fields are crucial for the simulation outcome:
- different levels of secondary pair production (influenced by p- γ processes) 0.1 and 1 parsec in B; related to the extension of the external photon fields
- (i) The γ rays dominate the electron-synchrotron emission in A, but not in B, due to (ii) Most emission is produced between 0.01 and a few parsec in A, while it is between (iii) The neutral-pion bump (at frequencies $> 10^{30}$ Hz) shows a different spectral shape
- between A and B

Parameters

Simulation	01A	01B	02A	02B
Main γ -ray production process	EC	EC	P-Syn	SSC
Jet length	100 pc	100 pc	100 pc	100 pc
Acceleration zone length	1 pc	1 pc	1 pc	0.1 pc
Disk Eddington ratio	0.1	0.01		
Max. Doppler factor	30	30	50	30
Initial magnetic field	50 G	50 G	70 G	30 G
Injection particle power	$10^{-5}L_{ m edd}$	$10^{-5} L_{ m edd}$	$2 imes 10^{-4}L_{ m edd}$	$2 imes 10^{-6}L_{ m edd}$
Initial proton to electron ratio	1	1	1	10^{-10}
Proton $\gamma_{ m min}$ / $\gamma_{ m max}$	2 / $2 imes 10^8$	2 / $2 imes 10^8$	2 / $2 imes 10^9$	2 / $2 imes 10^2$
Electron $\gamma_{ m min}$ / $\gamma_{ m max}$	100 / 2×10^4	100 / 2×10^4	100 / 2×10^4	10^5 / $2 imes 10^6$
P & e spectral index	2.5	2.5	2.0	2.8
Total jet power	$2 imes 10^{-3} L_{ m edd}$	$2 imes 10^{-3} L_{ m edd}$	0.03 <i>L</i> _{edd}	$2 imes 10^{-4} L_{ m edd}$

BLR and DT scale with Disk luminosity. No external fields in simulations 02A and 02B. No EBL absorption is considered.

Michael Zacharias¹, Anita Reimer, Andreas Zech, Catherine Boisson

1 Laboratoire Univers et Théories, Observatoire de Paris, Université PSL, CNRS, F-92190 Meudon, France michael.zacharias@obspm.fr, mzacharias.phys@gmail.com

Simulations with external fields

Hadronic and SSC simulations



Distance evolution (color code) of the intrinsic total spectrum (red/blue solid) for the hadronic simulation (02A, left) and the SSC simulation (02B, right). Black dashed lines mark the total neutrino spectrum. No external fields are used.

- Hardonic solution (γ -rays dominated by p-synchrotron):
- (i) Requires more extreme parameters and jet power
- > 10³⁰ Hz is the π^0 -bump; these follow the proton distance evolution
- SSC solution:
- (end of acc. zone)
- (ii) SSC shows much stronger distance evolution than synchrotron

Summary

- covering the most relevant processes
- radiation
- parameter sets and jet powers
- No model produces sufficient neutrinos for a detection

Bibliography

• M. Zacharias, et al., 2022, MNRAS, 512, 3948



(ii) P-syn emitted mostly within 0.1 pc, while e-syn is mostly emitted beyond 0.01 pc with its peak shaped by (non-)cooling effects at large distances

(iii) The plateau beyond 1 TeV is synchrotron of secondary pairs, while the peak at

(i) Synchrotron mostly emitted around 0.01 pc, while the SSC flux peaks around 0.1 pc

• We show first results of a newly developed extended hadro-leptonic jet code

 Protons have typically an indirect, but important role by providing highly relativistic secondary particles (and neutrinos), while electrons produce the

A model with a significant p-synchrotron contribution requires more extreme

• The strength or absence of the external fields has a very strong impact on the jet evolution (radiation dominance, secondary production, distance evolution)

NWU®