

## EW SMEFT – Exercise sheet 2

### The $W$ mass in SMEFT

1. Compute the correction to  $m_W^2$  in the Warsaw basis, at tree level and up to  $\Lambda^{-4}$  corrections.
  - (a) Remember that, at LO, the  $W$  pole mass in the SMEFT Lagrangian is just  $m_W^2 = g^2 v_T^2/4$ , and leave the result written in terms of  $\delta g/g$  etc.
  - (b) Using the shift formulas in the appendix, specialize the result to the input parameters set  $\{\alpha, G_F, m_Z\}$  and write the correction to  $m_W$  in terms of the Wilson coefficients.
  - (c) Do the same for the input parameters set  $\{m_W, G_F, m_Z\}$ . Verify that the correction to  $m_W$  vanishes in this case, as expected for any input quantities,
2. Now we will relate the  $m_W$  correction to the  $\rho$  parameter.

- (a) Compute the relative correction to  $\cos^2 \theta$  (i.e.  $\delta c_\theta^2/c_\theta^2$ ) in the Warsaw basis, again at LO and up to  $\Lambda^{-4}$  corrections.

Remember that the angle is defined by

$$\theta = \arctan \left[ \frac{g'}{g} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{gg'}{g^2 + (g')^2} \bar{C}_{HWB} \right] \quad (1)$$

As above, leave the result in the inputs-independent form, as a function of  $\delta g/g$  etc.

- (b) Write  $\delta c_\theta^2/c_\theta^2$  in terms of Wilson coefficients, specializing to the  $\{\alpha, G_F, m_Z\}$  and  $\{m_W, G_F, m_Z\}$  input schemes.
- (c) By comparing with the result of the previous exercise, verify that the following relation holds in both schemes

$$\frac{\delta m_W^2}{m_W^2} = -\Delta m_Z^2 + \frac{\delta c_\theta^2}{c_\theta^2} + \frac{s_{4\theta}}{4c_\theta^2} \bar{C}_{HWB} \quad (2)$$

Can you give an intuitive interpretation of this formula?

- (d) The  $\rho$  parameter can be defined *à la Veltman*, from the ratio of  $Z$  (neutral) and  $W$  (charged) currents, i.e.:

$$\rho \equiv \frac{g_Z^2 m_W^2}{g^2 m_Z^2} \quad (3)$$

Where  $m_Z, m_W$  are the pole masses and  $g_Z$  is defined such that, in unitary gauge, the covariant derivative for a chiral fermionic field  $\psi$  contains the term

$$D_\mu \psi = -i g_Z Z_\mu (T_3 - Q s_\theta^2) \psi + \dots \quad (4)$$

being  $T_3 = \pm 1/2$  and  $Q$  the isospin and electric charge of  $\psi$  respectively.

Compute  $\rho$  to order  $\Lambda^{-2}$  in the Warsaw basis.

Use the Lagrangian expression *before* defining the input parameters and write the result in terms of Wilson coefficients.

Hint: remember from lecture 1 that a generic covariant derivative contains the term

$$D_\mu \psi = -i \frac{g}{c_\theta} Z_\mu (T_3 - Qs_\theta^2) \left[ 1 + \frac{t_\theta}{2} \bar{C}_{HWB} \right] \psi + \dots \quad (5)$$

having defined the angle  $\theta$  as in (1).

- (e) Now repeat the calculation with the Lagrangian defined *after* defining inputs: write  $\rho$  as a function of hat quantities and  $\delta g/g$  etc.
- (f) Write  $\rho$  in terms of Wilson coefficients, specializing to the  $\{\alpha, G_F, m_Z\}$  and  $\{m_W, G_F, m_Z\}$  input schemes. Verify that, in both cases, you get the same result and that this also coincides with the result found at point (a).
- (g) Deduce the relation between  $\delta m_W^2/m_W^2$ ,  $\delta c_\theta^2/c_\theta^2$  and  $(\rho - 1)$ .

## The W decay width in SMEFT

3. In this exercise we will compute the SMEFT correction to the total decay width of the W boson. We will ignore all fermion masses and mixings.

- (a) Compute the *squared* amplitude for a decay  $W^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e$ , averaged over the polarizations of the W boson, considering only one lepton flavor and expanding to linear order in the SMEFT. The relevant Feynman rule is

$$W_\mu^- \bar{e} \nu \quad - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\gamma^\mu P_L) \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta g}{g} + \bar{C}_{Hi}^{(3)} \right] \quad (6)$$

- (b) Repeat for  $W^- \rightarrow \bar{u} d$ . The Feynman rule in this case is

$$W_\mu^- \bar{d} u \quad - \frac{ig}{\sqrt{2}} (\gamma^\mu P_L) \left[ 1 + \frac{\delta g}{g} + \bar{C}_{Hq}^{(3)} \right] \quad (7)$$

- (c) Compute the decay widths  $\Gamma(W^- \rightarrow e^- \nu_e)$  and  $\Gamma(W^- \rightarrow \bar{u} d)$ .

Remember that

$$\Gamma = \frac{|A|^2}{16\pi m_W} \quad (8)$$

- (d) Compute the total decay width  $\Gamma_W$  and express it as  $\Gamma_W^{SM} [1 + \delta\Gamma_W/\Gamma_W]$ .

Then specialize the result to the  $\{\alpha, G_F, m_Z\}$  and  $\{m_W, G_F, m_Z\}$  input schemes.

## Jacobian formulation of input shifts

▲ For this exercise you will need Mathematica to invert matrices.

One can ask whether there is a simple way to translate between different EW input schemes. In fact, when working to  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2})$ , the translation can be done quite easily using a Jacobian description of the whole inputs procedure:

Let's define the vector  $\vec{G} = (g, g', v_T)$  of the 3 Lagrangian parameters in the EW sector of the SM. In order to fix their numerical values, we need to relate them to 3 observables, that we don't specify yet. We will call  $\vec{O}$  the vector formed by them.

Each of these observables can be computed in the SMEFT using the canonically normalized Lagrangian. The prediction for observable  $O_n$  has the form

$$O_n(\vec{G}, C_i) = O_n^{SM}(\vec{G}) + \Delta O_n(\vec{G}, C_i) \quad (9)$$

where the first term is the SM prediction and the second is the  $\mathcal{O}(\Lambda^{-2})$  correction.

What we do when fixing the input scheme is solving the system  $\vec{O}(\vec{G}, C_i) = \vec{O}$  for  $\vec{G}$ , where  $\vec{O}$  are the measured values for  $\vec{O}$ . The solution can be written

$$\vec{G} = \vec{G} - J^{-1} \Delta \vec{O} \quad (10)$$

where  $\vec{G}$  is the SM solution and  $J$  is a Jacobian matrix defined by

$$J_{nk} = \frac{\partial O_n^{SM}}{\partial G_k} \quad (11)$$

4. Choose the input observables  $\vec{O}_{mW} = \{m_W^2, m_Z^2, G_F\}$  and re-derive the shifts presented in class (and given in the appendix) using the formula (10).

Remember the starting point:

$$m_W^2 = \frac{g^2 v_T^2}{4} \quad m_Z^2 = \frac{(g^2 + (g')^2) v_T^2}{4} (1 + \Delta m_Z^2) \quad G_F = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2} v_T^2} (1 + \Delta G_F) \quad (12)$$

You don't need to open the  $\Delta$ 's at this stage.

5. Using Eq. (10) you can see that the solution for the scheme with  $\vec{O}_a = \{\alpha, m_Z^2, G_F\}$  will be given by

$$\vec{G} = \vec{G}_a - (J_a^{-1}) \Delta \vec{O}_a \quad (13)$$

where  $\vec{G}_a$  is the new SM solution (which is trivial to find). More interestingly, deriving by parts:

$$J_\alpha = \frac{\partial \vec{O}_\alpha^{SM}}{\partial \vec{G}} = \frac{\partial \vec{O}_\alpha^{SM}}{\partial \vec{O}_{mW}^{SM}} \frac{\partial \vec{O}_{mW}^{SM}}{\partial \vec{G}} = \frac{\partial \vec{O}_\alpha^{SM}}{\partial \vec{O}_{mW}^{SM}} J_{mW} \quad (14)$$

where trivially

$$\frac{\partial \vec{O}_\alpha^{SM}}{\partial \vec{O}_{mW}^{SM}} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial m_W^2} & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial m_Z^2} & \frac{\partial \alpha}{\partial G_F} \\ & 1 & \\ & & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (15)$$

with all observable predictions computed in the SM. So the new Jacobian  $J_\alpha$  in  $\alpha$  scheme can be computed very easily, once the Jacobian  $J_{mW}$  in  $m_W$  scheme is known.

Do this computation and verify that eq. (13) gives the result presented in the lecture for the  $\alpha$  scheme.

Hint: for the Jacobian, you will need to express  $\alpha$  as a function of the  $\mathcal{O}_{mW}$  observables. To do this, take  $\alpha = \frac{1}{4\pi} \frac{(gg')^2}{g^2 + (g')^2}$  and replace  $g, g'$  with the SM solutions in  $m_W$  scheme.

6. Let's use the Jacobian to define a new input scheme:  $\vec{O}_{\text{new}} = \{m_W^2, m_Z^2, \alpha\}$ .

Start from the  $\vec{O}_{mW}$  set, and replace  $G_F$  with  $\alpha$ .

- (a) Compute the SM solutions  $\vec{G}$  in the new scheme.
- (b) Compute the jacobian as  $J_{\text{new}} = \frac{\partial \vec{O}_{\text{new}}^{SM}}{\partial \vec{O}_{mW}^{SM}} J_{mW}$ .
- (c) Put everything together in (10) to find the result for the parameters and their shifts.

## A Input shift expressions

In the  $\{\alpha, m_Z, G_F\}$  scheme:

$$\frac{\delta g}{g} = \frac{1}{2c_{2\theta}} [-c_{\theta}^2 (\Delta m_Z^2 + \Delta G_F) + s_{\theta}^2 \Delta \alpha] \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\delta g'}{g'} = \frac{1}{2c_{2\theta}} [s_{\theta}^2 (\Delta m_Z^2 + \Delta G_F) - c_{\theta}^2 \Delta \alpha] \quad (17)$$

$$\frac{\delta v_T}{v_T} = \frac{\Delta G_F}{2} \quad (18)$$

In the  $\{m_W, m_Z, G_F\}$  scheme:

$$\frac{\delta g}{g} = -\frac{\Delta G_F}{2} \quad (19)$$

$$\frac{\delta g'}{g'} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[ \Delta G_F + \frac{\Delta m_Z^2}{s_{\theta}^2} \right] \quad (20)$$

$$\frac{\delta v_T}{v_T} = \frac{\Delta G_F}{2} \quad (21)$$

And the  $\Delta$ 's are

$$\Delta G_F = 2\bar{C}_{Hl}^{(3)} - \bar{C}'_l \quad (22)$$

$$\Delta m_Z^2 = \frac{2gg'}{g^2 + (g')^2} \bar{C}_{HWB} + \frac{\bar{C}_{HD}}{2} \quad (23)$$

$$\Delta \alpha = -\frac{2gg'}{g^2 + (g')^2} \bar{C}_{HWB} \quad (24)$$