Neutrino Production in AGN

Markus Böttcher

North-West University Potchefstroom, South Africa

Anita Reimer University of Innsbruck, Austria Sara Buson

University of Würzburg

Based on: Reimer, Böttcher & Buson, 2019, ApJ, in press (arXiv:1812:05654)







Department: Science and Technology REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

Supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative (SARChI) of the Department of Science and Technology and the National Research Foundation of South Africa.

Spectral Energy Distribution of TXS 0506+056



The Neutrino Flare from TXS 0506+056



Photo-pion production - Energetics

p-
$$\gamma$$
 threshold: $E_p^{\text{thr}} = \frac{m_p \, m_\pi \, c^4}{2 \, E_{\text{ph}}} \left(1 + \frac{m_\pi}{2 \, m_p} \right) \sim 10^{17} \, \text{eV} \, \text{E}_{t, \text{eV}}^{-1}$

At Δ^+ resonance:

s =
$$E'_{p} E'_{t} (1 - \beta_{p}' \mu) \sim E'_{p} E'_{t} \sim E_{\Delta^{+}}^{2} = (1232 \text{ MeV})^{2}$$

and $E'_v \sim 0.05 E'_p$

 \Rightarrow To produce IceCube neutrinos (~ 100 TeV \rightarrow $E_v = 10^{14} E_{14} eV$):

(i.e.,
$$E'_{v} = 10 E_{14} \delta_{1}^{-1} \text{ TeV}$$
)

Need protons with $E'_p \sim 200 E_{14} \delta_1^{-1} \text{ TeV}$ => PeV CRsand target photons with $E'_t \sim 1.6 E_{14}^{-1} \delta_1 \text{ keV}$ => X-rays

The py Efficiency Problem

- Efficiency for protons to undergo py interaction ~ $\tau_{p\gamma} = \eta R \sigma_{p\gamma} n_{ph}$ ($t_{esc,p} = \eta R/c; \eta \ge 1$)
- Likelihood of γ -ray photons to be absorbed ~ $\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$ = R $\sigma_{\gamma\gamma} n_{ph}$



⇒ Photons at $E_{\gamma} \sim GeV - TeV$ are heavily absorbed! ⇒ Cascade emission at lower energies.

Constraints from Cascades

1) Find mimimum target photon fields + proton spectra to produce IceCube neutrino flux from TXS 0506+056 neutrino flare.



• Target photons: $n_{ph}(\epsilon) \sim \epsilon^{-\alpha}$, $\epsilon_{min} = 10$ keV, $\epsilon_{max} = 60$ keV, $\alpha = 1$

• Proton spectrum: n_p (E) ~ E^{- α p</sub>, E_{max} = 30 PeV, α _p = 2.0}

Constraints from Cascades

- 2) Target photon field => $\gamma\gamma$ absorption optical depth $\tau_{\gamma\gamma}$
- Simulate pair cascades initiated by secondary γ-rays and electrons/positrons
 - MC codes including Photo-Meson + Bethe-Heitler pair production (SOPHIA – Mücke et al. 2000)
 - Pair cascades with Matrix Multiplication Method (Protheroe & Johnson 1996)
 - Steady-state, linear cascades

Synchrotron Supported Cascades



Ruled out by MWL spectra

(over-predicting either Fermi-LAT or X-ray / radio fluxes)

Synchrotron Supported Cascades



Expected proton-synchrotron grossly overpredicts X-ray flux!

Compton Supported Cascades



To produce IceCube neutrinos (~ 100 TeV \rightarrow E_v = 10¹⁴ E₁₄ eV):

Need protons with

 $E'_{p} \simeq 200 E_{14} \delta_{1}^{-1} \text{ TeV} => \text{Not UHECRs!}$

and target photons with $E'_t \sim$

$$'_{t} \sim 1.6 E_{14}^{-1} \delta_{1} \text{ keV} => X-rays!$$

(At least) two possible scenarios:

a) Target photons co-moving with the emission region

 \Rightarrow E_t^{obs} ~ 16 E₁₄⁻¹ δ_1^2 /(1+z) keV

 \Rightarrow Observed as hard X-rays

b) Target photons stationary in the AGN frame

$$\Rightarrow E_t^{obs} \sim 160 E_{14}^{-1}/(1+z) eV$$

 \Rightarrow Observed as UV / soft X-rays

Spectral Energy Distribution of TXS 0506+056



Constrain target photon luminosity and required proton power from

- observed neutrino luminosity (L'_ν ~ 1.7×10⁴² δ₁⁻⁴ erg/s for 2014 – 15 neutrino flare)
- limit on observed UV / X-ray flux ($F_x \sim 10^{-12} \text{ erg cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1}$ for TXS 0506+056)



L_{kin,p}

a) <u>Co-moving target photon field</u>

X-ray flux limit => $u'_t < 9 \times 10^{-4} R_{16}^{-2} \delta_1^{-4} erg cm^{-3}$ \Rightarrow Synchrotron-supported cascades (already ruled out) $L_{p,kin} \sim 1.6 \times 10^{54} R_{16} \Gamma_1^{-2} erg/s$

⇒ Unrealistically large kinetic power; requires very low B-field (B < 1 G) to suppress proton synchrotron below X-ray flux limit

=> Ruled out!

b) <u>Stationary target photon field</u>

From UV / X-ray flux: $u'_{t} < 100 \Gamma_{1}^{2} R_{t,17}^{-2} erg cm^{-3}$

 \Rightarrow Compton dominated cascades for B << 100 G

 \bigcirc

 $L_{p,kin} \sim 1.5 \times 10^{49} \, \delta_1^{-4} \, R_{t,17}^{-2} \, R_{16}^{-1} \, erg/s$

Plausibly below Eddington limit. Can suppress p-sy below UV/X-ray limit for B ~ 10 G. ⇒ Plausible!

⇒ Stationary UV / soft X-ray target photon field external to the jet is plausible!

Possible sources of external UV / soft X-ray target photons:

- BLR (?) Padovani et al. (2019) (arXiv:1901.06998)
- Slow-moving sheath (Tavecchio & Ghisellini 2005)
- Accretion flow (RIAF) (Righi et al.: 1807.10506)
 - -> Seems to favour LBLs as neutrino sources



Possible sources of external UV / soft X-ray target photons:

 Jet-Jet interaction / Self-interaction of strongly bent jet? Synchrotron photon field of jet I = pγ target for neutrino production in jet II?



<u>Summary</u>

- Production of IceCube neutrinos requires
 - Protons of ~ PeV energies
 - Target photons of co-moving UV / X-ray energies
- No correlation between γ -ray and neutrino activity necessarily expected
- IceCube 170922A / TXS 0506+056 strongly favours
 - leptonically-dominated γ-ray emission
 - UV / soft X-ray target photon field external to the jet (possibly due to jet-jet / jet self-interaction)

Reimer, Böttcher & Buson, 2018, ApJ, in press (arXiv:1812.05654)







Cepartment: Science and Technology REPUBLIC OF SOUTH AFRICA

science

Supported by the South African Research Chairs Initiative (SARChI) of the Department of Science and Technology and the National Research Foundation of South Africa.



Any opinion, finding and conclusion or recommendation expressed in this material is that of the authors and the NRF does not accept any liability in this regard.

1000